GINTL "LESSONS LEARNED" WORKSHOP

Helsinki and hybrid 14 February 2024



SCHEDULE

- 10:00-12:00 Lessons learned and how to develop them
- 12:00-13:30 Lunch break (own cost)
- 13:30-15:30 Thematic discussions
 - 13:30-13:40 Introduction
 - 13:40-14:05 Theme 1: Future collaboration
 - 14:05-14:30 Theme 2: Internationalisation in education sciences
 - 14:30-14:55 Theme 3: Education crises
 - 14:55-15:20 Theme 4: Ethics / national and institutional interests
- 15:20-15:30 Closing



WHY LESSONS LEARNED?

- Global *pilots* > quest for trying out and learning
- Focus on broad lines instead of details

The network responds to the challenge of global learning crisis and to the SDGs by bringing in Finnish excellence in teacher education, learning and teaching.

- CAIGHAL GOALS The network offers a collaborative platform for sustainable and responsible partnerships between higher education institutions and other actors in teacher education, learning and teaching. The focus is on genuine and reciprocical collaboration.
 - The network increases the attractiveness of Finnish higher education institutions, in particular degree programmes, and international education development and research in educational and adjacent fields



Co-create **research- based solutions** to global education challenges

Increase meaningful partnerships between GINTL HEIs and key collaborators

Inspire and initiate **new internationalisation measures** in
Finnish HEIs



Context

- What were the objectives and goals we were set to work with?
- Were the goals fitting and realistic?
- National level programme goals typically communicate interests by several different stakeholders. Whose interests were reprsented in the GINTL goals?





ASKING YOUR CONSENT FOR RESEARCH PARTICIPATION Knowledge creation on network experiences

Purpose. Case study to investigate the use of the lessons learnt process as a tool for interorganisational knowledge creation and learning in higher education networks

Aim 1. Improve understanding and results of inter-organisational knowledge creation among HEIs.

Aim 2. Contribute to research gaps in higher education studies, knowledge management studies, and media and communication studies.

Consent links. Online consent form – from there links to research notification and privacy note.



GINTL LESSONS LEARNED

LESSONS LEARNED CONCEPT AND PROCESS

CONCEPT

Identify and "package" key realisations from GINTL funding

Focus: HEI internationalisation in the field of teaching and learning (especially educational sciences and adjacent fields)

Output: Brief written document

PURPOSE

- Inform future work by funders, planners and implementers
- Generate self-understanding as HEIs and understanding among stakeholders

DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE

- Done:
 - Survey (Dec Jan): 37 respondents mainly from Finland, variety of perspectives
 - Kick-off meeting 24 Jan: 35 participants mainly from Finland.
 4 thematic groups formed based on the survey, GINTL goals and meeting discussion.
- Under development: drafts under 4 themes
 - 4 thematic groups facilitated by GINTL coordination
 - Work online and fin two ace-to-face meetings (today in Helsinki and 14 March in Jyväskylä)
- 17 June: Drafts discussed in a stakeholder meeting organised as a preconference for Unesco conference in Helsinki
- August 2024: Documents finalised by GINTL coordination
- Autumn 2024: Wider dissemination

HOW WE SEE GINTL LESSONS LEARNED

What are they not	What are they
TYPES OF QUESTION(S) ANSWERED. "How did GINTL perform", "what works and what not", "what is the best way to"	TYPES OF QUESTIONS ANSWERED: What have we learned / realised that could be useful for those doing something similar in the future? (Useful for coordinators, funders, policymakers, implementers of activities.)
DATA FROM. Rigorous research, extensive consultations with stakeholders	DATA FROM. Self- and stakeholder reflection of actual, lived experiences during GINTL.
TOPIC. International collaboration in general.	TOPIC. Focus on Finnish HEIs as actors in international collaborations, specifically in the field of education sciences / teaching and learning.
USES. Formal evaluation for evidence-based programming; internal use only.	USES. Inform future work; generate understanding among stakeholders; advocate for continued funding for something similar



QUESTION IN ONE OF THE GROUPS

Should we focus on what GINTL has done? Or is it more focused on what else can be done? Or both?

A: Both

Maybe the weight should rather be on this part?

STARTING POINT

What has been learned, experienced, or realised when dealing with GINTL funded activities.

FOLLOW-UP

Suggestions or recommendations for the future.

X did not quite work because



- How could the same be done differently in the future?
- Should we quit even trying?
- What else could be done instead?

Y was good because



- What is required for doing more Y in the future?
- Wait ... but is Y beneficial for the goals we want to pursue?



HOW TO DEVELOP LESSONS LEARNED?





- Create opportunities for stakeholders to give input without a big time commitment.
- Involve people and institutions whose understanding is important for future work.
- Engage with relevant work by others (e.g. MINEDU policy texts, UniPID reports - see Padlet for links)



Match ambition level with time and human resources.

- A collection of remarks is good enough if that is all there is time for.
- Or perhaps: tight focus on a specific example?
- Or?
- Use the facilitator for making additional inquiries (e.g. With GINTL HEIs and partners with experiences relevant to the topic.)



It's great if participants contribute to several themes.

- Thematic groups exist but when there are not enough participants in meetings, all themes can be discussed among the bigger group.
- Theme group facilitators and Padlet glad to receive comments from anyone: https://padlet.com/gintlteam/lessons-learned-kick-off-qdumcqiduzknvpoo



THE "PRODUCT" - WHAT SHOULD A GINTL LESSON LEARNED LOOK LIKE?

"17 June 2024: **Drafts discussed in a stakeholder meeting** organised as a preconference for Unesco conference in Helsinki"

Some ideas on what the drafts could be:

- Short PPT presentation
- Short word document
- Other, what?
 (something that can be shared and commented on)

Suggestion:
Groups can
choose their own
format

Question: Or do we want to try agree on some elements that must be covered?



THEMES

- The thematic group "Future Collaboration" will discuss a potential collaborative actor for Finnish HEI activities with focus on educational sciences and the Global South.
- The "Internationalisation" group will identify opportunities and challenges that are key in supporting the core duties of academic institutions in this field.
- The "Education Crises" group discusses what kinds of understandings of SDG4 have emerged in GINTL activities.
- The "Ethics and national / Institutional Interests" group will highlight ethical viewpoints connected to all themes, applying UniPID's ethical guidelines specifically to educational sciences collaborations.





LUNCH BREAK

• 11:50-13:30

01/03/2024 15



THEMATIC DISCUSSIONS

- 13:30-13:40 Introduction to the discussion
- 13:40-14:05 Theme 1 / Future Collaboration
- 14:05-14:30 Theme 2 / Internationalisation
- 14:30-14:55 Theme 3 / Education Crises
- 14:55-15:20 Theme 4 / Ethics
- 15:20-15:30 Closing

01/03/2024 16



FUTURE COLLABORATION

- What have we learned from GINTL to inform future collaboration between Finnish HEIs in educational sciences with the global South?
- What was discussed in the kick-off meeting?
- What other ideas do you have related to the theme? E.g.
 - O What has been useful in GINTL?
 - Is there a need for collaboration between Finnish HEIs in educational sciences with the global South?
 - o In what ways could we work together?



INTERNATIONALISATION

- What kind of internationalisation opportunities support the core duties of academic institutions in this field?
 - From strategy to action
 - External pressure
 - External views and understanding of the HEIs tasks and resources
- What have we discussed in the kick-off meeting?
- What other ideas do you have related to the theme?
- If we had to choose only two types of activities what would they be



EDUCATION CRISES

- How do we understand the 'education crisis'?
- What are the key resources/literature that will frame our discussion/contribution? For example
 - UNESCO 2021 'Reimagining our Futures together'
 - 'Stepping up Finland's global role in education'
 - Anything else?
- What have we learnt from education crises during GINTL and/or through GINTL funded activities?

ETHICS

- Theme: what kinds of clashes between ethics and national / institutional interests did you encounter in GINTL?
- What learnings should we take from these to future work? (With reference to UniPID guidelines.)
- How to frame the topic? The kick-off meeting proposed 3 strands.
 - 1) Different understandings of ethics
 - 2) Ethics and interests in projects / activities (e.g. "export of teacher training")
 - 3) Ethics at everyday work
- What other ideas do you have related to the theme?
- Who do we want to discuss these questions with us – to understand their point of view and them
 01/dto2understand ours?



NEXT STEPS

01/03/2024 21

NEXT STEPS

- Please give us feedback on this meeting https://link.webropolsurveys.com/S/89D5B2B916AA0BF5
- Communicate within your group
 - Group 1 (Future Collaboration) Sai Väyrynen <u>sai.vayrynen@helsinki.fi</u>
 - Group 2 (Internationalisation) Maaria Manyando maaria. Manyando@helsinki.fi
 - Group 3 (Education Crises/SDG4) Tea Kangasvieri tea.s.m.Kangasvieri@jyu.fi
 - Group 4 (Ethics and Interests) Veera Virmasalo <u>veera.i.Virmasalo@jyu.fi</u>
- If you know someone who wants to join, please ask them to write the facilitator
- Between now and 17 June
 - Facilitator initiates discussions, calls for inputs
 - Online contributions from participants (Padlet https://padlet.com/gintlteam/lessons-learned-kick-off-qdumcqiduzknvpoo, Teams-based word document for some groups)
 - Workshop at JYU on 14 March

